

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,608	08/19/2003	Robert B. Koenig	501260.01 5994	
7590 06/03/2005		EXAMINER PAUMEN, GARY F		
Steven H. Arterberry, Esq.				
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Seattle, WA 9	8101		2833	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/644,608	KOENIG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary F. Paumen	2833
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If PIO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M		
, ===	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		•
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		
application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 August 2003 is/are:		to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	r (PTO-413)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ito 6863555

Ito discloses heavy gage plastic socket C having pawl receiving chambers for pawls 12, and an opening with curved (rounded) corners.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Hirschmann 6726495.

Ito substantially discloses the claimed invention except for the pawl receiving chambers having angled receiving walls. Hirschmann discloses angled receiving walls which engage pawls 18.1n, 18.2n, and to form the pawl receiving chambers of Ito with an angle thus would have been obvious, to allow the pullout force to be predetermined.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of the admitted prior art (the last paragraph of page 2).

Page 3

Ito substantially discloses the claimed invention except for positive keyways. The admitted prior art discloses the use of positive keyways, and to provide the socket of Ito with positive keyways thus would have been obvious, to prevent mating with an improper plug.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Miyamoto 6848938.

Ito substantially discloses the claimed invention except for locking legs with anchor pawls. Miyamoto discloses locking legs 32 with anchor pawls 33, and to provide lto with same thus would have been obvious, to allow mounting to a substrate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary F. Paumen whose telephone number is 571-272-2013. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on 571-272-2800, ext. 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2833

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gfp

Primary Examiner